



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,921 08/24/200		08/24/2001	Walter Clark Milliken	BBNT-P01-128 3501	
28120	7590	05/10/2005	•	EXAMINER	
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP			NGUYEN, QUANG N		
ROPES & GRAY LLP ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02110-2624				2141	
				DATE MAILED: 05/10/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T A M					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	ST . A 41 . A	09/938,921	MILLIKEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
····		Quang N Nguyen	2141				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication V	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
THE MAILII - Extensions of after SIX (6) I - If the period f - If NO period f - Failure to rep Any reply rec	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided by the office later than three months after the rest term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of t eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
Status							
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on 3	31 March 2005.					
		This action is non-final.					
Disposition of	Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Pa	pers						
9)∐ The s	pecification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)⊠ The di	awing(s) filed on <u>24 August 2001</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ∈	objected to by the Examiner.				
	ant may not request that any objection to						
·	cement drawing sheet(s) including the co ath or declaration is objected to by th		ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of Ref 2) Notice of Dra 3) Information D	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 bisclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/938,921 Page 2

Art Unit: 2141

Detailed Action

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on 03/31/2005. Claims

1, 9, 16 and 21 have been amended. Claim 17 has been canceled. Claims 1-16 and

18-21 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act

of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior

to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2141

3. Claims 1-16 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being

Page 3

anticipated by Nataraj (US 6,757,779), herein after referred as Nataraj.

4. As to claim 1, Nataraj teaches a central processing unit (CPU), comprising:

an arithmetic logic unit (a CAM BLOCK 1-K as illustrated in Fig. 60);

a ternary content addressable memory operatively coupled to the arithmetic logic

unit within the CPU and configured to perform one or more matching operations (a CAM

ARRAY 6001 containing ternary content addressable memory such as ternary CAM 404

that has rows of CAM cells 405 for storing field information, i.e., mask data M1-MX.

within the CAM BLOCK 1, configured to perform classifying/filtering operations)

(Nataraj, Figs. 4 and 60, C7: L38-65, C58: L52-63 and C60:L62 – C61:L8).

5. As to claims 2-4, Nataraj teaches the CPU of claim 1, wherein the one or more

matching operations includes a network packet processing operation, which includes an

Internet Protocol (IP) address lookup operation (Nataraj, C16: L8-38).

6. As to claim 5, Nataraj teaches the CPU of claim 1, wherein the one or more

matching operations include a packet stuff/unstuff operation (Nataraj, C14: L27-63).

7. As to claim 6, Nataraj teaches the CPU of claim 1, wherein the one or more

matching operations include a packet classification operation (Nataraj, C9: L33-57).

Art Unit: 2141

8. As to claim 7, Nataraj teaches the CPU of claim 1, wherein the ternary content

addressable memory (the CAM ARRAT 6001) is located within the arithmetic logic unit

(located within CAM BLOCK 1 implemented as an ALU illustrated in Fig. 60).

9. As to claim 8, Nataraj teaches the CPU of claim 1, further comprising:

a first register and a second register (registers C1-C8) configured to store a first

32-bit operand and a second 32-bit operand (Nataraj, Fig. 21 and C37: L46-62).

10. As to claim 9, Nataraj teaches the CPU of claim 8, wherein the ternary content

addressable memory performs the one or more matching operations based on at least

one of the first or second 32-bit operands (TCAM array 1601 configured for x32

performs matching operations based on at least one of the first and second 32-bit

operands, i.e., C1-C8) (Nataraj, Fig. 21 and C37: L46-62).

11. As to claim 10, Nataraj teaches the CPU of claim 8, wherein the ternary content

addressable memory includes a memory array including a group of 64-bit entries

(TCAM array 1601 can be configured for x32, x64, x128 or x256 operation), and

wherein, when performing the one or more matching operations, the ternary content

addressable memory compares higher order bits of each entry of the memory array to

the first 32-bit operand and compares lower order bits of each entry of the memory

array to the second 32-bit operand (Nataraj, C37:L15 - C38: L24).

12. As to claim 11, Nataraj teaches the CPU of claim 1, wherein the ternary content addressable memory includes a memory array that includes a group of 64-bit entries (TCAM array 1601 can be configured for x32, x64, x128 or x256 operation) (Nataraj, C37:L62 - C38:L24).

- 13. As to claim 12, Nataraj teaches the CPU of claim 11, wherein the memory array comprises 32 entries (i.e., 32 rows) (Nataraj, Fig. 15 and C21:L55 - C22:L45).
- 14. As to claim 13, Nataraj teaches the CPU of claim 1, wherein when performing the one or more matching operations, the ternary content addressable memory is configured to compare an operand to a group of entries (the TCAM 404 is configured to compare an operand 168.69.43.100 to a group of entries 168.0.0.0/8, 168.69.0.0/16. and 168.69.62.0/24) (Nataraj, C16:L47 - C17:L5).
- 15. As to claim 14, Nataraj teaches the CPU of claim 13, wherein the ternary content addressable memory is further configured to set a first flag when the operand fails to match an entry in the group of entries, and set a second flag when the operand matches multiple entries of the group of entries (the CAM device 1200 may further include logic for generating match flag, multiple flag and/or full-flag signals) (Nataraj, C17: L20-22).
- 16. As to claim 15, Nataraj teaches the CPU of claim 13, wherein prior to comparing. the ternary content addressable memory is configured to sequentially load the group of

Art Unit: 2141

entries from a succession of mask/value pairs transferred to the ternary content

Page 6

addressable memory (the TCAM 404 is configured to sequentially load a group of

entries 168.0.0.0/8, 168.69.0.0/16, and 168.69.62.0/24 as illustrated in Fig. 11) (Nataraj,

C16:L47 – C17:L5).

17. Claims 16 and 18-19 are corresponding method claims of CPU claims 1, 3 and 6;

therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

18. Claim 20 is a corresponding system claim of method claim 16; therefore, it is

rejected under the same rationale.

19. As to claim 21, Nataraj teaches an arithmetic logic unit (a CAM BLOCK 1-K of

the CAM Device 6000 as illustrated in Fig. 60), comprising:

a register unit (register segments C1-C8 as illustrated in Fig. 21);

an operations unit (a block flag logic unit 6007 or/and a configurable priority

encoder logic unit 6005 as illustrated in Fig. 60); and

a ternary content addressable memory coupled to the register unit and the

operations unit within the arithmetic logic unit (a CAM ARRAY 6001 containing ternary

content addressable memory such as ternary CAM 404 that has rows of CAM cells 405

for storing field information, coupled to register segments C1-C8 and to the block flag

unit 6007, within the CAM BLOCK 1, configured to perform classifying/filtering

operations) (Nataraj, Figs. 4 and 60, C7: L38-65, C58: L52-63 and C60:L62 - C61:L8).

Art Unit: 2141

Response to Arguments

20. In the remarks, Applicant argued in substance that

(A) Prior Art does not teach or suggest, "an arithmetic logic unit", as claimed

in the invention.

As to point (A), before addressing the argument, Examiner submits that the

language in the quotation "an arithmetic logic unit" can be given a broadest and

reasonable interpretation in light of the specification as a component of a

microprocessor used for arithmetic, comparative, and logical functions (the definition of

ALU from Microsoft Computer Dictionary - Fifth Edition). Nataraj teaches a CAM

device 6000, as illustrated in Fig. 60, that includes multiple independently selectable

CAM BLOCKS 1-K, wherein each of the CAM BLOCKS (implemented as an arithmetic

logic unit) includes a configurable CAM ARRAY 6001 (a ternary content addressable

memory), configurable priority index table PIT 6003, configurable match flag logic and

multiple match flag logic BF 6007, configurable priority encoder logic BPE 6005 and

mode-responsive read/write circuitry R/W 6015 to receive read, write and compare

instructions from the host processor to perform corresponding (e.g., read, write,

compare) operations (Nataraj, Fig. 60, C58:L52 - C59:L55 and C60:L62 - C60:L8).

Hence, Prior Art does teach or suggest "an arithmetic logic unit" as claimed in the

invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,921 Page 8

Art Unit: 2141

(B) Prior Art does not teach or suggest, "a ternary content addressable memory operatively coupled to the arithmetic logic unit within the CPU and configured to perform one or more matching operations", as claimed in the invention.

As to point (B), Nataraj teaches a CAM ARRAY 6001 (as illustrated in Fig. 60) containing ternary content addressable memory such as ternary CAM 404 that has rows of CAM cells 405 for storing field information (as illustrated in Fig. 4), within the CAM BLOCK 1, configured to perform classifying/filtering, read, write and compare operations as instructed by the host processor, using configurable priority index table PIT 6003, configurable match flag logic and multiple match flag logic BF 6007, configurable priority encoder logic BPE 6005 and mode-responsive read/write circuitry R/W 6015 (Nataraj, Figs. 4 and 60, C7: L38-65, C58:L52 – C59:L55 and C60:L62 – C60:L8).

Hence, Prior Art does teach or suggest, "a ternary content addressable memory operatively coupled to the arithmetic logic unit within the CPU and configured to perform one or more matching operations", as claimed in the invention.

Art Unit: 2141

21. Applicant's arguments as well as request for reconsideration filed on 03/31/2005

have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

22. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2141

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)

272-3886.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

SPE, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the

organization is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER